1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MICHAEL J. AGOGLIA (CA SBN 154810) MAgoglia@mofo.com WENDY M. GARBERS (CA SBN 213208) WGarbers@mofo.com MARK W. POE (CA SBN 223714) MPoe@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522  Attorneys for Defendants JPMORGAN CHASBANK, N.A. and CHASE HOME FINANCE,	SE LLC		
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	WESTERN DIVISION			
13				
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	BARBARA L. SCHRAMM and STEVEN L. WEINSTEIN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. CV09-9442-AHM		
16	Plaintiffs,	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,		
17	V.	N.A. AND CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC'S NOTICE		
18	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.; and	OF MOTIÓN FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
19	CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC,	Date: May 16, 2011		
20	Defendants.	Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 14		
21		Hon. A. Howard Matz		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 16, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, defendants JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Home Finance, LLC (collectively "Chase"), will and hereby do move 4 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 for an order granting summary judgment in their favor on all causes of action in the above-captioned case. The grounds for this motion are:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- All of Plaintiffs causes of action are barred by the applicable statutes of limitations because Plaintiffs' deposition testimony shows that reading the challenged documents put reasonable borrowers on inquiry notice of the factual basis of Plaintiffs' claims.
- Plaintiffs cannot adduce evidence from which a reasonable juror could find that Plaintiffs actually relied on the disputed language in entering the transactions.
  - Plaintiffs can prove no damages cognizable under California law.
- All of Plaintiffs' causes of action with regard to their 2001 loan are preempted by federal law because the only misrepresentation they attribute to Chase is found in a document that is under the exclusive purview of federal banking law and regulations.

In support of this motion, Defendants submits the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law, Declaration of Wendy Garbers and the exhibits and deposition testimony attached thereto, and Declaration of Colleen A. Meade.

This motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to L.R. 7-3 which took place on March 2, 2011.

1	Dated:	April 6, 2011	MICHAEL J. AGOGLIA
2			WENDY M. GARBERS MARK W. POE MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
3			WORKISON & POEKSTER LEP
4			By: /s/ Wendy M. Garhers
5			By: /s/ Wendy M. Garbers Wendy M. Garbers
6			Attorneys for Defendants JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. and CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC
7			and CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			2